

1           **WO**  
2  
3  
4  
5

6           **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7           **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

8  
9           Neil Rusty Bond,

No. CV-19-04933-PHX-JAT

10           Plaintiff,

**ORDER**

11           v.

12           Commissioner of Social Security  
13           Administration,

14           Defendant.

15           Before the Court are Plaintiff's three motions titled "Request to File a Lawsuit"  
16           (Docs. 57, 58, 59), and Plaintiff's "Motion to Order the Social Security Administration, the  
17           Office of Inspector General and the U.S. Government to Cease and Desist Violation of  
18           Plaintiff's Civil Rights with Threats" (Doc. 60). The motions join the growing deluge of  
19           opaque post-judgment motions filed by Plaintiff.

20           In his requests to file lawsuits against members of the United States Attorney's  
21           Office (the "USAO"), Plaintiff asks to sue members of the USAO in connection with  
22           deductions from his Social Security Benefits. (*See* Docs. 57, 59). Plaintiff cites, and the  
23           Court is aware of, no rule or legal authority to support his motions. (*See id.*). Instead,  
24           Plaintiff simply argues that the "Financial Litigation Unit" of the USAO has wrongfully  
25           deducted money from Plaintiff's Social Security Benefits and failed to "follow up with the  
26           Maricopa County Recorder's office with a satisfaction of lien placed on Plaintiff." (Docs.  
27           57, 59). Plaintiff provides no documentation to support these arguments, but even if he did  
28           the outcome would be the same. This case is closed, and judgment has been entered in

1 Plaintiff's favor. (Docs. 44, 45). The conduct complained of by Plaintiff took place after  
 2 judgment in this case was entered—June 19, 2020—and appears to involve conduct apart  
 3 from Plaintiff's underlying complaint. Thus, Plaintiff's motions regarding the USAO will  
 4 be denied.

5 As for the request to file a lawsuit against the Commissioner of the Social Security  
 6 Administration (the “SSA”), Plaintiff argues that he has not been provided a hearing before  
 7 the SSA regarding his overpayment despite requesting one through the appropriate  
 8 channels, although he provides no evidence to support his claim. (*See* Doc. 58). Notably,  
 9 the damages Plaintiff seeks from the SSA are \$30,929.16, the amount of restitution Plaintiff  
 10 was required to pay in his criminal conviction. (*See* Docs. 51 at 3; 58 at 1). The Court  
 11 considers this motion yet another attempt by Plaintiff to secure an order requiring the SSA  
 12 to give Plaintiff a hearing to argue for an overpayment amount lower than \$30,929.16 so  
 13 he may receive a more favorable judgment from this Court. As such, the motion is not well  
 14 taken and will be denied. (*See* Doc. 55 at 3 (noting that requests to get a greater award than  
 15 he was otherwise entitled to under the Court’s judgment would be summarily denied)).


16 Finally, in the “Motion to Order the Social Security Administration, the Office of  
 17 Inspector General and the U.S. Government to Cease and Desist Violation of Plaintiff’s  
 18 Civil Rights with Threats” (Doc. 60), Plaintiff argues that he has received vague threats in  
 19 person, via voicemail, and on his “case file” regarding his SSA case. (*See* Doc. 60).  
 20 Plaintiff, again, provides no proof of these threats, cites no federal law or rule supporting  
 21 his requested relief, and fails to even identify the individuals allegedly making these  
 22 threats. (*See id.*). As such the Court will deny Plaintiff’s motion.

23 The Court further reminds Plaintiff that his case is closed, and judgment has been  
 24 entered. (Docs. 44, 45). This Court is not a forum for Plaintiff to press his disparate  
 25 grievances or seek a greater award than he is due. Further filings by Plaintiff that are  
 26 unsupported by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and lack legal and evidentiary support  
 27 will be denied and may open Plaintiff to sanctions.

28 Accordingly,

1           **IT IS ORDERED** that Plaintiff's motions to file lawsuits (Docs. 57, 58, 59) and  
2 "Motion to Order the Social Security Administration, the Office of Inspector General and  
3 the U.S. Government to Cease and Desist Violation of Plaintiff's Civil Rights with Threats"  
4 (Doc. 60) are **DENIED**.

5           Dated this 25th day of May, 2021.

6  
7  
8  
9             
10           James A. Teilborg  
11           Senior United States District Judge  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28